indirectly to the consumers to purchase at this rate?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—It was originally so. We have now increased the rate to Rs. 160. The Oil millers have no grievance now so far as the cake given to paddy cultivation is concerned.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—Do the Government know that in Madras it is Rs. 216 per ton?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I know that the rate is much higher there.

Sri V. M. MASCARENHAS.—In view of that, will the Government please consider that it is better to review the price of oil-cake as the season progresses?

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—The whole matter was reviewed very recently in consultation with the oil millers and they have raised no objection whatever. On the other hand they have been very helpful so far as production of paddy is concerned. They are prepared to give at Rs. 160 provided they are allowed to sell the remaining 25 per cent.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question-hour is over.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಜನಾಬ್ ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರಿಂದ ಒಂದು ಅಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಓದುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Dress worn by Officers of Government, Ministers and Legislative Assembly Members on the occasion of the Address of the Rajpramukh to the Assembly.

Q.–2. *Mushir-ul-Mulk* **J. MAHOMED IMAM** (Tumkur *cum* Chitaldrug—Muslim).—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is not a fact that there is a Government Order No. M 12997–13076, dated 13th February 1950 which prescribes the dress to be worn by the officers of Government on ceremonial and formal occasions;

(*b*) whether they are aware that some officers of Government, a few Ministers and M.L.A.'s appeared in clothes contrary to the above Government Order on 28th of this month on the occasion of the Address by His Highness the Maharaja at the Town Hall?

A.—**Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY** (Chief Minister).—(a) 'Yes;' (b) No ceremonial or formal dress was required for the opening Session of the Assembly on 28th August.

Janab MAHOMED IMAM.—Is not the opening of the Assembly by His Highness the Rajpramukh considered as a ceremonial or formal function?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—It was not declared to be a ceremonial function anyway.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Are the Government going to declare beforehand whether every function is ceremonial or not?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—If the authority calling for the function deems that it is a ceremonial function, then it will make it known to members and the invitees concerned that it is a cermonial function and as such the prescribed dress should be worn in accordance with the Government Order.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Have the Goverument prepared a list of ceremonial functions?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—No, not yet.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Will they be pleased to prepare a list of ceremonial functions?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—We shall examine that.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Will the Government specifically state whether the occasion when the Rajpramukh addresses the Legislature, is a ceremonial function or not?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—It may be that it is a proper function to be declared as a ceremonial function. Anyway it has to be examined.

Sri K. SAMPANGIRAMIAH (Kolar District—General).—Will the Government Order refer to Members of the Legislative Assembly?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—It refers to all. For the information of

the Hon'ble Member I may as well read the order.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA (Shimoga District—General).—It is very desirable that members of the Legislative Assembly also should conform to the dress regulation.

Janab MAHOMED IMAM.—They are also officers.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I will read that order so that it may have some publicity here. The order is dated 13th February 1950.

"The Government of Mysore are pleased to direct that ceremonial and formal dress for Indian Officers will be ordinarily black coat or white close long coat and black or white trousers or dhothi."

This is the ceremonial dress that has been fixed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರು.—ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಭಾರತದ ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದ ದಿನ ಅದು formal occasion ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ consider ಮಾಡಿ observe ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I am afraid I had not the privilege of attending the Session of Parliament when the President inaugurated the opening of that body. But so far as I can say, it has not been declared to be a formal function which requires members to wear any particular dress.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Will the Government please ascertain what the practice is in the Central Government and try to adopt the same practice here also?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—If we have to adopt what is being adopted in the Centre, we will have to score off many of the formal and ceremonial functions that we have at present.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Each question will be considered on its merits. We will consider this question also on its merits, whether it is desirable to adopt or not.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I do not think members of the Parliament are required to wear any dress so far as the President's Address to the Parliament is concerned. I am positive about it. There is no such requirement that is now being contemplated by the Hon'ble Member so far as dress is concerned.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—At least, Hon'ble Ministers and Officers of Government, when they attend the functions, have to observe dress regulations.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Not necessarily. The Hon'ble Ministers do not wish to make any distinction as between themselves and other members of the Legislature.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Under the new set-up is there no need for ceremonial dress?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Well, that is a matter of opinion.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Mysore District—General).—When the Government passed this order, why did they not define ceremonial occasions?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—Not necessary. When there is a function we can say definitely whether it is a function requiring the invitee to wear ceremonial dress or not.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—What are the characteristics of a ceremonial occasion?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—I cannot answer that question straight away.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I would like to know what are the special characteristics that are associated with the ceremonial occasion so that we may know which are ceremonial functions and which are not.

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.—For example Durbars that may be held by His Highness must be characterised as ceremonial functions. The Inauguration of the Indian Republic which we had on the 26th January may be deemed as a Ceremonial function. And every Session of the Assembly when we may have His Highness appearing to inaugurate the session, we need not necessarily treat as a ceremonial function. When His Highness appears in public to open a school or to lay the foundation of any building, we need not necessarily characterise that as a ceremonial function, and so on and so forth.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Will the Government define ceremonial

occasions under this Government Order?

Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY.— We shall examine. I have already answered.

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

(to which answers were laid on the table).

(1) Starred Questions treated as Unstarred.

Drawal of pay of Revenue Surveyors of taluks in Bangalore District.

*Q.—9 **Sri S. KARIAPPA** (Bangalore District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether it is a fact that the Revenue Surveyors of various taluks in Bangalore District are made to come to the Head Office in Bangalore to draw their pay once a month?

A.—**Sri H. SIDDAIYA** (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

The answer is in the affirmative.

Disposal of lands bearing S. Nos 5, 11 and 79 in Kodihalli Hobli, Kankanhalli Taluk.

*Q.—10 **Sri S. KARIAPPA** (Bangalore District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of applications made by villagers to Government for disposing of Survey Nos. 5 and 11 of Balepura and Survey No. 79 of Eramgere of Kodihalli Hobli; Kankanhalli Taluk by public auction;

(*b*) the total amount offered for the said lands;

(*c*) Whether the said lands have been disposed of; if disposed of, to whom and for how much?

A.—**Sri. H. SIDDAIYA.** (Minister for Revenue and Excise)—

(*a*) Five.

(*b*) Petitions offering bids of Rs. 500 to Rs. 1000 per acre for 5 and 11 of Balepura were received from the ryots.

(*c*) Sri. B. S. Siddappa of Bijjahalli has been granted survey Nos. 5 of Balepura and 79 of Eeramagere at an upset price of Rs. 150 and Survey No. 11 of Balepura at Rs. 500 per acre. A Review petition No. 104/50-51 is pending and the hearing is on 18-9-1950.

Amritmahal Kavals.

*Q.—16. **Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA** (Hassan District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the area of Amritmahal Kaval in Mysore State;

(*b*) the income to the Government from these kavals;

(*c*) whether any raiyats have requested that these kavals may be granted for cultivation;

(*d*) if so, the number of acres granted for cultivation;

(*e*) the assessment fixed on the land granted for cultivation?

A.—**Sri T. MARIAPPA** (Home Minister).—

(*a*) 2,04,013 acres.

(*b*) Rs. 1,26,188.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) 7,097 acres were leased out for temporary cultivation during 1949-50.

(*e*) No assessment is fixed but horsegram gutta ranging from 12 to 50 seers per acre is fixed.

Terms of grant of Amritmahal Kavals.

*Q.—18. **Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA** (Hassan District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the public have requested recently that Amritmahal